AD	

Award Number DAMD17-97-1-7056

TITLE: Identification and Characterization of Proteins Involved in Integrin Signaling

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Csilla A. Fenczik, Ph.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: The Scripps Research Institute
La Jolla, California 92037

REPORT DATE: September 1999

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

DTIC QUALITY REPORTS A

20010216 107

# rorm Approvea REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE OMB No. 074-0188 Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining reducing this burden to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503 3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED 1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank) 2. REPORT DATE (1 Sep 98 - 31 Aug 99) September 1999 Annual 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE 5. FUNDING NUMBERS DAMD17-97-1-7056 Identification and Characterization of Proteins Involved in Integrin Signaling 6. AUTHOR(S) Csilla A. Fenczik, Ph.D. 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION The Scripps Research Institute REPORT NUMBER La Jolla, California 92037 E-MAIL: 9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSORING / MONITORING **AGENCY REPORT NUMBER** U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE Approved for public release; distribution unlimited 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 Words)

14. SUBJEBCT TERMS Breast Cancer		15. NUMBER OF PAGES 7	
			16. PRICE CODE
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	Unlimited

NSN 7540-01-280-5500

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89) Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39-18 298-102

#### **FOREWORD**

Opinions, interpretations, conclusions and recommendations are those of the author and are not necessarily endorsed by the U.S. Army.

\_\_\_\_ Where copyrighted material is quoted, permission has been obtained to use such material.

Where material from documents designated for limited distribution is quoted, permission has been obtained to use the material.

\_\_\_\_ Citations of commercial organizations and trade names in this report do not constitute an official Department of Army endorsement or approval of the products or services of these organizations.

X In conducting research using animals, the investigator(s) adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," prepared by the Committee on Care and use of Laboratory Animals of the Institute of Laboratory Resources, national Research Council (NIH Publication No. 86-23, Revised 1985).

N/A For the protection of human subjects, the investigator(s) adhered to policies of applicable Federal Law 45 CFR 46.

X In conducting research utilizing recombinant DNA technology, the investigator(s) adhered to current guidelines promulgated by the National Institutes of Health.

X In the conduct of research utilizing recombinant DNA, the investigator(s) adhered to the NIH Guidelines for Research Involving Recombinant DNA Molecules.

N/A In the conduct of research involving hazardous organisms, the investigator(s) adhered to the CDC-NIH Guide for Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories.

## **Table of Contents**

SF 298	
Foreword	
Table of Contents	

Specific Aim 1

Cover -

Specific Aim 2

TITLE: Identification and Characterization of Proteins Involved in Integrin Signaling

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Csilla A. Fenczik, Ph.D.

The development and function of multicellular animals requires integrin adhesion receptors. Integrin-dependent cell adhesion is regulated, in part, by ligand binding affinity ("activation") changes controlled by cellular signaling cascades. Regulation of integrin affinity is important in cell migration, extracellular matrix assembly and morphogenesis. Integrin activation is energy-dependent, and is mediated by cell type specific signals operating through integrin cytoplasmic domains.

Complementation of Dominant Suppression (CODS) is an expression cloning scheme used to identify proteins that modulate integrin affinity. CODS depends on the ability of an isolated integrin  $\beta1A$  cytoplasmic domain, in the form of a chimera with the  $\alpha$  subunit of the IL-2 receptor, to block integrin activation (dominant suppression). Proteins involved in integrin activation are isolated by their ability to complement dominant suppression. CD98, a type II transmembrane protein first discovered as a T-cell activation antigen, was identified utilizing CODS. CD98, although widely expressed on proliferating cells, is generally down regulated in quiescent cells. CD98 forms disulfide-bonded heterodimers with several light chains that strongly resemble permeases. CD98 regulates the transport of neutral and positively charge amino acids through these light chains. Thus, CODS has identified an unexpected connection between cell adhesion and certain amino acid transporters. During the tenure of this fellowship I aimed to address three specific aims:

- 1) To characterize a protein which when expressed reverses the inhibitory effects of Tac- $\beta 1A$ .
- 2) To understand the mechanism by which this protein reverses the inhibitory effects of  $Tac-\beta1A$
- 3) To identify other proteins that rescue Tac-β1A inhibition using the same screen.

### Progress on Specific Aim 1.

- A) Complete sequence of clone 5F8
- B) Production of antibodies
- C) Tissue distribution and subcellular localization

Parts A-C of Specific Aim 1 were described in last years progress report.

D) Further characterize the specificity of 5F8 rescue

I have shown that CD98 rescue was not dependent upon the alpha cytoplasmic tail. To test this I used cells that express integrin chimeras that contain the cytoplasmic domains of  $\alpha$ 2,  $\alpha$ 5 and  $\alpha$ 6b which are all constitutively active when expressed with the  $\beta$ 1A tail.

GRANT NUMBER DAMD17-97-1-7056 Csilla A. Fenczik, Ph.D.

The activation state of all of these chimeras was suppressed by Tac- $\beta1A$  and the suppression was recovered by CD98 expression. I also have shown that the wild-type  $\alpha5\beta1$  receptor present in CHO cells is also rescued from dominant suppression by CD98 expression. The activation state of this integrin was reported by using GST-fusion proteins containing fibronectin repeats 9-11, which have been shown to require an active integrin to bind.

#### E) Characterize the interaction between 5F8 protein and integrins

As discussed in the last annual report we have decided that the most direct approach to understanding how CD98 affects integrin function would be to create a CD98 knockout mouse. The knockout construct has been completed and will be sent to the Scripps Core Facility to generate a mouse which contains a deletion of the CD98 gene.

#### <u>Progress on Specific Aim 2</u> Mechanisms of Rescue

The mechanism by which CD98 influences integrin function is not yet clear. CODS was predicated on the idea that it would identify integrin  $\beta$  cytoplasmic domain binding proteins. As described in last years annual report, we have examined interactions between CD98 and recombinant parallel-dimerized integrin  $\beta1A$ ,  $\beta1D$ , and  $\beta7$  cytoplasmic domains by affinity chromatography. CD98 interacts with the  $\beta1A$  and  $\beta3$  but not  $\beta1D$  or  $\beta7$  integrin cytoplasmic domains.

To further characterize the interaction between CD98 and integrin cytoplasmic tails we tested whether other known integrin binding proteins were involved. We looked at both talin and filamin binding as they bind tightly to  $\beta1D$  and  $\beta7$  respectively. The previous binding assays were performed using talin and filamin-1 containing cell extracts. Thus, CD98 may not be able to bind to  $\beta1D$  or  $\beta7$  because of competition for binding sites on the integrin tails with talin and filamin, respectively.

To test this possibility, we used filamin-1 deficient human melanoma cells (M2) and reconstituted cells (A7) to examine the role of filamin-1 in CD98 binding to  $\beta$ 1A cytoplasmic tails. CD98 bound to the  $\beta$ 1A tail, but not  $\beta$ 7, when lysates of M2 cells were used, showing that filamin-1 is not required for CD98 binding to  $\beta$ 1A. CD98 binding to  $\beta$ 7 was not observed in the filamin-1 null (M2) cells. Consequently, competition with filamin-1 does not account for the failure of  $\beta$ 7 to bind CD98.

To examine the role of talin, we used cell membrane preparations with a greatly reduced talin content. CD98 extracted from these membranes bound  $\beta1A$  but not  $\beta1D$  cytoplasmic domains. Thus talin does not prevent CD98 binding to  $\beta1D$ , nor is it required for CD98 binding to  $\beta1A$ .

Annual Report September 1999

GRANT NUMBER DAMD17-97-1-7056 Csilla A. Fenczik, Ph.D.

The Y788A mutation of  $\beta1A$  disrupts filamin and talin binding. Similar Y to A mutations in  $\beta7$  and  $\beta1D$  tails, corresponding to the Y788A mutation in  $\beta1A$ , also disrupted filamin and talin binding. CD98 binding to  $\beta$  integrin tails was not affected by Y to A mutations. The Y to A mutation introduced into  $\beta1D$  or  $\beta7$  did not increase CD98 binding nor was CD98 binding reduced in the  $\beta1A(Y788A)$  mutant. These results confirm that talin or filamin competition does not account for the lack of CD98 binding to  $\beta1D$  and  $\beta7$  and that talin or filamin binding is not required for CD98 binding to the  $\beta1A$  cytoplasmic domain, but do not rule out involvement of other cytoplasmic proteins. Furthermore, the CD98 interaction is insensitive to  $\beta$  cytoplasmic domain mutations that abolish the binding of talin and filamin.

To further eulcidate the mechanism of CD98 rescue we have also been performing immunofluorescence experiments. Our initial experiments attempted to show colocalization of integrins and CD98 in focal adhesions. These experiments were unsuccessful because CD98 is expressed at high levels throughout the cell surface and it is technically difficult to see focal adhesion staining above the surface staining. Rather than taking a direct approach of colocalization, we are trying to assess whether or not CD98 expression will cause a redistribution of Tac- $\beta1A$  from focal adhesions. We will be using Tac- $\beta1D$  as a negative control.

We are also continuing the experiments outlined in last years annual report to determine which regions of CD98 are required for it's effect on integrin function. Previously, we determined that the cytoplasmic domain was necessary but not sufficient for rescue. We have gone on to show that the transmembrane domain is also required for rescue. We have constructed a series of CD98/CD69 constructs (that were outlined in last years report) that have been tested both for their ability to rescue dominant suppression and for their ability to bind to  $\beta1A$  cytoplasmic domains. We have seen a strict correlation with the ability of the different chimeras to bind to the  $\beta1A$  cytoplasmic domain and their ability to rescue dominant suppression.